

SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY
D-72 September Term 2023
089128

In the Matter of :
George R. Gilmore :
An Attorney at Law :
(Attorney No. 008301975) :

O R D E R

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 23-193, recommending on the basis of a disciplinary stipulation that **George R. Gilmore of Toms River**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1975, and who was temporarily suspended from the practice of law from May 15, 2019 to March 25, 2021, should be suspended for a period of two years prospectively but with credit for the entirety of respondent’s temporary suspension, for violating RPC 1.15(b) (failing to promptly deliver funds to an entitled party), RPC 8.4(b) (committing a criminal act that reflects adversely on the lawyer’s honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as a lawyer in other respects) (two instances), and RPC 8.4(c) (engaging in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation) (two instances);

And the Disciplinary Review Board having further recommended,

as a condition to discipline, that respondent be required to provide to the Office of Attorney Ethics proof of his fitness to practice law, as attested to by a mental health professional approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **George R. Gilmore** is suspended from the practice of law for a period of two years prospectively, with a twenty-two-month credit for respondent's temporary suspension, and until further order of the Court, effective June 13, 2024; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent shall, prior to reinstatement from this suspension, provide proof to the Office of Attorney Ethics of respondent's fitness to practice law, as attested to by a mental health professional approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with Rule 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of Rule 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a

violation of RPC 8.1(b) and RPC 8.4(d); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to Rule 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in Rule 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 7th day of May, 2024.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Heather J. Baker". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "H" and "B".

Filed 5/15/2024

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT